

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION NOVEMBER 2008

### LIFE SCIENCES: PAPER II

### MARKING GUIDELINES

Time: 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> hours

150 marks

These marking guidelines are prepared for use by examiners and sub-examiners, all of whom are required to attend a standardisation meeting to ensure that the guidelines are consistently interpreted and applied in the marking of candidates' scripts.

The IEB will not enter into any discussions or correspondence about any marking guidelines. It is acknowledged that there may be different views about some matters of emphasis or detail in the guidelines. It is also recognised that, without the benefit of attendance at a standardisation meeting, there may be different interpretations of the application of the marking guidelines.

# **QUESTION 1**

1.1

Question	1.1.1	1.1.2	1.1.3	1.1.4	1.1.5	1.1.6
Answer	С	В	С	D	А	А
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓

1.2

Question	1.2.1	1.2.2	1.2.3
Answer	А	А	С
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

(3)

(6)

## 1.3

1.3.1

Differences between				
Skull A	Skull B			
1. Sloping face ✓	1. Flat face ✓			
2. Smallish cranial volume ✓	2. Large cranial volume ✓			
3. Large jaw size ✓	3. Small jaw size ✓			
4. No chin present ✓	4. Chin present ✓			
5. Has ridge at back of cranium $\checkmark$	5. Has no ridge at back of cranium $\checkmark$			

NB:

•	Same feature to be compared in each row Mark four features, no more	(8)
1.3.2	B. Skull B has a flat shape with lighter jaws, possibly for speech. $\checkmark$ The large cranium size allows for increased brain $\checkmark$ size, i.e. development of cognitive skills $\checkmark$ and increased intelligence.	
	Features to be quoted from the table in 1.3.1	(3)
1.4.1	Four times as many ✓	(1)
1.4.2	A high humidity $\checkmark$ Drop in temperature (to below freezing) $\checkmark$ No wind $\checkmark$ Accumulation of smoke and sulphur dioxide $\checkmark$	(4)
		(4)
1.4.3	The smoke and sulphur dioxide accumulated $\checkmark$ because the wind had dropped. $\checkmark$	(2)

1.4

(3)

1.4.4 Accumulation of smoke and sulphur dioxide affect the breathing system ✓ very badly. If anyone had asthma or emphysema ✓ (or name of relevant respiratory disease) their problem would be intensified, possibly resulting in death. ✓

Also, it was very cold and fires being burned at home  $\checkmark$  would cause further  $\checkmark$  breathing problems.

Effects on the body, e.g. asthma, bronchitis, do not disappear when causes are removed.  $\checkmark$ 

Any three relevant ideas

- 1.4.5 (a)
- The same number of plants  $\checkmark -4$ ,  $\checkmark$  has been used.
- The same type of plant  $\checkmark$  radish,  $\checkmark$  has been used.
- Investigation was left for a two-week period ✓ before results were compared. ✓
- The same  $\checkmark$  sized  $\checkmark$  plant tray was used in both cases.
- Both plant trays ✓ were enclosed in clear plastic. ✓
   Any other point relevant to illustration of fair testing. ✓ 3 points (6)

(b) Increase the number of plants. ✓
Measure the time taken for plants to die. ✓
Repeat the experiment several times to check accuracy of results. ✓
Repeat the experiment with different plants. ✓
Repeat the experiment with a range of sulphur dioxide concentrations. ✓
Etc. 4 points to be given

(4)

40 marks

# **QUESTION 2**

2.1

2.2

2.1.1	<ul> <li>A group of organisms with similar features ✓ that can freely breed ✓ and give rise to fertile offspring. ✓ All members of the species have the same chromosome number. ✓ First three correct answers.</li> <li>Also accept:</li> <li>Organisms of the same species share the same ecological niche.</li> <li>Any species is reproductively isolated from other species.</li> <li>Members of the same species will have similar chromosomes.</li> <li>Species may be organisms of similar physical appearance.</li> <li>Species are genealogically unque.</li> </ul>	(3)
2.1.2	They feed on the same kind of food. $\checkmark$ They have similar body length. $\checkmark$ They have similar body mass. $\checkmark$	
	I hey inter breed. V	(3)
2.1.3	Sympatric $\checkmark$ , as they occupied same habitat, $\checkmark$ for many years. $\checkmark$	(3)
2.1.4	Bones $\checkmark$ teeth $\checkmark$ horns $\checkmark$ hooves $\checkmark$ (or any relevant hard body part) Any 2	(2)
2.1.5	<ul> <li>Fossils do not undergo any changes of body structure once they're formed. ✓</li> <li>It is known that the older the rocks the older the fossils. ✓</li> <li>It is possible to age fossils. ✓</li> <li>Comparison of fossils can be made with similar organisms alive today, therefore changes in development are evident. ✓</li> </ul>	(3)
2.2.1	In Diagram 2 humans, chimpanzees and gorillas separated ✓ from an ancestor at the same time. ✓ In Diagram 4 chimpanzees separated from common ancestor earlier ✓ than humans and gorillas. OR In Diagram 4, humans and gorillas share a common ancestor different to ancestor shared with chimpanzees. ✓	(3)
2.2.2	Diagram 3 $\checkmark$ Humans (with chromosome number = 46) separated from common ancestor earlier $\checkmark$ than gorillas and chimpanzees (with chromosome number = 48). $\checkmark$	(3)

(2)

(3)

### 2.3

- 2.3.1 Crash in the diversity/ type ✓ of organisms, ✓ i.e. dying out ✓ of many species or organisms. ✓
- 2.3.2 Increased climate change/ global warming ✓ due to man's activities, produce more pollutants ✓ such as methane, carbon dioxide, etc. resulting in species ✓ death.
  - Deforestation ✓ has effects such as loss ✓ of food and habitat ✓ resulting in species death.
  - Climate change ✓ brings about death of ✓ organisms unable to adapt to change. ✓

Darwin and Wallace	As climate became drier $\checkmark$ on some islands,		
(Evolution by natural selection)	tortoises with long necks would have been		
	'selected for' as $\checkmark$ they could reach the tall		
	shrubs and bushes. Short-necked tortoises		
	would have died out. ✓		
Lamarck	As climate changed and became drier, all		
(Inheritance of acquired characteristics)	tortoises would have gradually ✓ grown longer		
	necks to reach the tall shrubs and bushes.		
	Short-necked tortoises would have died out. ✓		
	Darwin and Wallace (Evolution by natural selection) Lamarck (Inheritance of acquired characteristics)		

(5)

30 marks

(2)

(10)

(4)

## **QUESTION 3**

3.1

- 3.1.1 Farming area has developed into a mixture of farming and industry.  $\checkmark$ 
  - Village has developed into a town,  $\checkmark$  with a larger population.
  - Area is supported by a motorway to transport manufactured goods and farm products. ✓
  - Type of farming has changed from mixed farming to ✓ cultivation only. ✓
  - There are fewer farms in 1965 than in 1949. ✓ (4)
- 3.1.2 Manure could not be used ✓ as there were no more sheep or cattle. ✓ (2) Accept: There was greater pressure ✓ to rapidly increase productivity. ✓
- 3.1.3 When it rained ✓ soil and fertilisers could have run off into the river, if there were no grass verges ✓ between fields and river.
- 3.2 No. ✓ He collected measurements in the one pool only. ✓ He measured clarity once a year only; ✓ measurements should be taken more often, ✓ e.g. weekly. He has data on clarity only ✓ but no other measurements such as water temperature, oxygen content, nitrate content, faecal bacterial content, flow rate, pH, types of indicator species, etc. ✓✓✓ (at least four other types of data need to be mentioned). He needed to have collected data at many points along the river, ✓ e.g. next to villages, next to the farms, the roadway, bridges, etc. ✓✓ (at least two of these need to be mentioned) Any 9 relevant points

3.3

- 3.3.1 Increase in nitrates and phosphates/ mineral content ✓ has led to increased growth ✓ of green water plant.
  Increase in gill size indicates decreased oxygen levels in the water, ✓ possibly due to raised water temperatures ✓ of effluent water from industries, e.g. brickworks alongside the river or increase in bacteria.
- 3.3.2 Farms: Need to prevent any flow of fertilisers, soil, etc. ✓ into the river system by having verges between farming areas and river. ✓ Brickworks: Need to ensure no effluent goes into the river/ effluent entering the river is treated ✓ so that it is not warm; contains no toxic chemicals; contains no minerals, etc. ✓

Engineering works: Need to ensure no effluent goes into the river/ effluent entering the river is treated  $\checkmark$  so that it is not warm; contains no toxic chemicals; contains no minerals, etc.  $\checkmark$ 

Power station: To ensure that water entering the river is treated so that it is not warm;  $\checkmark$  so that oxygen content of the river water is not lowered.  $\checkmark$ 

(8)

30 marks

#### **QUESTION 4**

<u>4</u> 1			
7.1	4.1.1	3 400 units per kg dry mass ✓	(1)
	4.1.2	9 ✓	(1)
	4.1.3	The radioactivity went into the atmosphere $\checkmark$ and winds moved it around. $\checkmark$	(2)
	4.1.4	Radioactivity released from Chernobyl	
		Radioactivity enters soil in rain ✓	
		Radioactivity is absorbed by plants $\checkmark$	
		Cattle eat radioactive plants ✓	
		Cattle produce radioactive milk $\checkmark$ -1 if no flow chart shown -1 if order of stages incorrect	(4)
	4.1.5	(a) No. ✓ Evidence shows that there are physical illnesses such as cancers but that radioactivity causes deaths ✓ and also illnesses of the mind such as anxiety, high stress levels ✓ that cause physical symptoms which cannot be explained. ✓	(4)

(b) Comparisons were made of USA soldiers exposed to unclear radiation testing in Nevada ✓ with USA soldiers serving in areas where there was no radiation ✓ at the same time. ✓ (3)

#### 4.1.6 4 arguments for nuclear power stations:

- Nuclear power stations do not use fossil fuels.  $\checkmark$
- Nuclear power does not produce greenhouse gases.  $\checkmark$
- Nuclear power has no effect on the ozone layer.  $\checkmark$
- Source of fossil fuels is decreasing,  $\checkmark$  etc.

#### OR

4 arguments against nuclear power stations/ arguments for coal power stations:

- The potential for release of radioactivity is high.  $\checkmark$
- Radioactivity, in large doses, causes death. ✓
- Radioactivity, caused by 137-Caesium, lasts a long time in the atmosphere. ✓
- Radioactivity stays in the food chain a long time.  $\checkmark$
- High cost of building nuclear power stations,  $\checkmark$  etc. (4)

#### 4.2 Candidates must argue one way.

- 4.2.1 Pentadactyl ✓
- 4.2.2 This similar arrangement of bones is found in the forelimb of all vertebrates,
  ✓ i.e. they are related because of a common ancestor. ✓
  The limbs are homologous, i.e. have same structure ✓ but do not have the same function. ✓ For example a whale uses forelimb for swimming, ✓ bird uses it for flight. ✓
  Any 5 points
- 4.3 Yes, ✓ if isolation persisted ✓ and the population stayed small ✓ condition could then become more prevalent and in the long run everyone may have the condition if interbreeding persisted. ✓

#### OR

No,  $\checkmark$  isolation of the tribe is very unlikely to persist.  $\checkmark$  Increasing the population/ gene pool  $\checkmark$  will result in the condition/ gene still being present but not with everyone having it.  $\checkmark$ 

30 marks

Page 8 of 9

(1)

(6)

(4)

# QUESTION 5 GRID TO ASSESS RESPONSE TO QUESTION 5

Criteria/ Marks	4	3	2	1	0
Making a			Clear decision	Undecided	No decision
decision			made about		made
			whether remains		
			stay in		
			environment or		
			are taken to		
(2)			museum		
Substantiation:		Evidence that the	Evidence that the	No/ little	No reference to
Fairness		alternate point of	alternate point of	reference to	alternate point of
(Acknowledge-		view can be	view exists but	alternate point of	view
ments of other		taken, with merit	only	view being	
view points)		gıven	shortcomings	possible	
(3)	a	a 1	given	<b>XX 1</b> 5.4	
Substantiation:	Sufficient sources	Several sources	Some source	Very little	Response 1s
Thoroughness	are referred to	quoted	material quoted,	evidence from	entirely opinion
(Extent of	depending on		(about nan)	aited in support	with no
source material	There is evidence of			of opinion	supporting
citcu)	reasoning beyond			or opinion	the sources given
	the sources that is				the sources given
	integrated into the				
(4)	whole				
Substantiation:	Source material	Slight loss of	Some loss of	A lot of	Source material
Relevance	appropriate to	relevance. (a	relevance	digression where	is unprocessed
(Selection of	decision is referred	sentence or two)		question largely	F
source material)	to in the answer,	,		appears to be	
,	with no loss of			ignored	
(4)	relevance			0	
Argument,	Arguments are	Some unclear/	Arguments and	Writing is mostly	Argument where
depending on	logical, reasoning	incorrect	reasons are clear	directly from	given, is directly
accuracy	clear and generally	reasoning that	on average (50%)	sources with little	from the sources,
	persuasive	detracts from the		reasoning or	and is
		quality of the		linkage	unprocessed
(4)		response			
Ability to		Paragraph	Physical but	No paragraph	
paragraph		divided clearly	inappropriate	breaks apart from	
		on unified theme	breaks	scene setting and	
		rather than just		wrap-up	
(3)		physically			

20 marks

Total: 150 marks